

# A Theoretical Study of Condensed Matter in Superstrong Magnetic Field and Estimation of its Binding Energies and Exchange Energies

S. K. Choudhary<sup>1</sup> and N. N. Mishra<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University Department of Physics,  
T.M. Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur – 812 007, Bihar, INDIA.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics,  
B.N. College, Bhagalpur, 812 007, Bihar, INDIA.

(Received on: May 24, 2019)

## ABSTRACT

Using theoretical formalism of J.E. Skjervold and E. Stgaard, we have estimated the binding energies and exchange energy of hydrogen, helium, carbon and oxygen matter in the presence of strong magnetic field. Our theoretical result indicates that both the binding energy and exchange energy of the above four matter increase with magnetic field. Our theoretical results are in good agreement with the other theoretical workers.

**Keywords:** Binding energies, magnetic field, exchange energy.

## INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we have obtained an analytic expression of electron exchange interaction energy in terms of  $M_0$  and  $K$ . We then obtained the total expression for the total energy in terms of parameters, and  $z$ . We then numerically evaluated the expression  $E_{ex}$  and  $E_{total}$  for hydrogen, Helium, Carbon and Oxygen matter as function of magnetic field strength  $B$  ranging from  $10^{12}$  to  $10^{15}$ G. The results are shown in table  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  respectively.

## Mathematical methods used in the evaluation

The energy of system can be written as<sup>1</sup>

$$E = E_F + E_{+-} + E_{++} + E_{ex} \quad (1)$$

when  $E_F$  is the kinetic energy of the Fermi gas,  $E_{ij}$  is the potential energy because of interactions between two particles (charge  $i$  and  $j$ ,  $E_{ex}$  is the exchange term in the electron–electron interaction energy. The total energy  $E$  then depends on two parameters  $i$  and  $M_0$  (or  $R$ ), where we assumed  $L$

Hence Landau levels of orbital radius  
 $M = 0, 1, 2$  (2)  
 $= (2c/eB)^{1/2}$

is the cyclotron radius.

The electrons occupy Landau orbitals where the outer orbital has the radii as

$$R = (M_0 + 1/2)^{1/2} M_0^{1/2} \quad (3)$$

where

$$M_0 = (R/l)^2$$

Introducing dimensionless variables

$$= L/l$$

$$K = K_F$$

$$\mu_0 B = 2/m^2 \quad (4)$$

Here  $L$  is the length of the system in the  $z$ -direction of the field.

We have

$$E_F = \mu_0 B K^3 M_0 / 6 \quad (5)$$

$$E_{+-} = - (Z^2 e^2 / l) [2 \ln(L/l) + 2 \ln 2 - 1 - \ln M_0 - 3/2 M_0^{-1}] \quad (6)$$

Similarly

$$E_{++} = - (Z^2 e^2 / l) [\ln(L/l) + \ln(2l) + \gamma] \quad (7)$$

where  $\gamma$  is Euler's constant.

The direct Coulomb interaction energy of the electrons can be written as (8)

where (9)

where normalized electron wave functions in cylindrical co-ordinates (10)

and (11)

on solving, we have (12)

where  $(M_0) = \ln M_0$ .

### Calculation of Electron–Exchange interaction energy

The exchange energy is (13)

where (14)

then on solving, we have (15)

where  $K = P/l$ .

Then total energy (16)

where

$$C_2 = 2.44 - \ln 2 = 1.75 \quad (17)$$

Minimizing the energy with respect to R and l gives

$$\ln(2l/R) = -C_1 + 3/2$$

$$l = 2.87 R \quad (18)$$

Now, one has also a relation

$$= 0.7195/(z^3 R^5) \quad (19)$$

where

$$R = 2^{-1/2-1} z^{-7/6} a_0 \quad (20)$$

where is given by equation

$$5 + 6z^{-2/3} (\ln + 0.2945) = 8.14z^{5/6} \quad (21)$$

The total energy is given by the parameters , and z i.e.

$$E = 2.475 z^{19/6-1} [1.5 + 3^{-2} z^{-2/3} \times \ln (+06279)] + 5.035^{-6} z^2 E_H \quad (22)$$

where

$$E_H = e^2/2a_0 = 13.6 \quad (23)$$

Equation (21) and (22) have been solved numerically and the results are shown in table T<sub>1</sub>,

T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> for hydrogen, helium, carbon and Oxygen respectively.<sup>2-7</sup> The ground state energy for an atom in a superstrong magnetic field, when exchange terms are included has been obtained by Thomas Fermi–Dirac method and is given by

$$E = [-153.47 - 22.37(B(10^{12}G))^{-1/5} z^{-2/5}] \times B[10^{12}G]^{2/5} z^{9/5} \text{ eV} \quad (24)$$

i.e. the binding energy of an atom in matter when exchange terms are included is given by equation (22) and (24).

## DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

In this paper, we have evaluated the binding energies and exchange energies of hydrogen, helium, Carbon and Oxygen matter in the presence of strong magnetic field. The evaluation has been performed on the basis of theoretical formalism of J.E. Skjervold and E. stgaard.<sup>8,9</sup> Our theoretical result indicates that exchange energy increases with increase of magnetic field in all the four matters. Our theoretical results also indicate that total energy (binding energy) also increases with increase of magnetic field B in all the four hydrogen, Helium, Carbon and Oxygen matter. But this increase is much faster than the increase of the exchange energy. This proves the fact of the other workers that the inclusion of exchange energies does enhance the binding energy and this enhancement is much more pronounced in the lower value of z. In the stronger filed, the exchange energy becomes smaller. For helium matter, we obtain exchange energies of 0.13 KeV for a magnetic field of 12<sup>12</sup>G and 0.20 KeV

for a field of  $5 \times 10^{12} \text{G}$  which are in good agreement with Müller corresponding result of 0.16 and 0.26 KeV respectively. The main difference from earlier work is in the atomic dimensions i.e. for the lattice spacing or distance between the nuclei in the chain  $l(a_0)$  or  $l(R)$ , we obtain  $l=2.87 R$  which indicates more elongated atoms in the earlier workers Ruderman,<sup>10</sup> Constanteiniscu and Rahak,<sup>11</sup> Chen, Ruderman and Sutherland,<sup>12</sup> Glasser and Kaplan,<sup>13</sup> Hillerbrandt and Müller<sup>14</sup> and Flowers *et.al.*<sup>15</sup> Our results are very sensitive to the value for the constant  $C_1$ . The energy of linear chain of nuclei with charge  $Ze$ , lattice spacing  $l$ , radius  $R$  and uniform electron density has been calculated by Ruderman but with different  $C_1$ . Different workers have used the different values of  $C_1$  i.e.  $C_1 = 0.75$  by Ruderman<sup>10</sup> and Flowers *et.al.*<sup>15</sup> or  $C_1=1.25$  by Glasser and Kaplan.<sup>13</sup> The independent minimization with respect to  $R$  and  $l$  then gave  $l=1.88R$  or  $l=1.14 R$ . Ruderman's calculations included the first four terms of the right hand side of equation

$$E = (E_F + E_{+-} + E_{--} + E_{++})$$

and he assumed that the electrons sheath is uniformly charged cylinder. This was improved upon latter by others by including electron exchange and an the quantized structure of the electron gas due to the magnetic field. The condensed matter in superstrong magnetic fields is assumed to consist of atoms of linear nuclear charges, where the corresponding length or interval  $l$  contains a charge  $Ze$ . The electrons are correspondingly, approximated as a one-dimensional Fermi gas where  $M_0$  electrons fill Landau levels and  $(Z-M_0)$  electrons are quantized in the direction of the field. Recently Bouhassouns *et.al.*<sup>16</sup> presented a theoretical study of th binding energy of an exciton in a cylindrical quantum well wire subject to an external magnetic field. Calculation were performed using a variational approach  $\psi$  in the effective mass approximation. Some recent<sup>17-21</sup> studies also reveals the same conclusions.

**Table T<sub>1</sub>**

<b>Binding energy and exchange energy of Hydrogen matter in superstrong magnetic field</b>						
<b>B(<math>10^{12}</math>G)</b>			<b>R(<math>a_0</math>)</b>	<b>l(<math>a_0</math>)</b>	<b>-E<sub>ex</sub>(KeV)</b>	<b>-E(KeV)</b>
1	10.3	2.03	0.139	0.399	0.06	0.22
5	23.1	2.44	0.074	0.212	0.08	0.38
10	32.7	2.64	0.057	0.164	0.10	0.50
50	73.1	3.17	0.031	0.089	0.14	0.90
100	103.4	3.43	0.023	0.066	0.17	1.18
500	231.2	4.11	0.013	0.037	0.24	2.16
600	251.9	4.18	0.0125	0.035	0.25	2.45
700	264.6	4.25	0.0118	0.033	0.26	2.52
800	282.8	4.32	0.0114	0.032	0.27	2.70
900	301.6	4.39	0.0108	0.031	0.28	2.80
1000	327.0	4.44	0.0103	0.029	0.29	2.82

**Table T<sub>2</sub>**

**Binding energy and exchange energy of Helium matter in superstrong magnetic field**

<b>B(10<sup>12</sup>G)</b>			<b>R(a<sub>0</sub>)</b>	<b>l(a<sub>0</sub>)</b>	<b>-E<sub>ex</sub>(KeV)</b>	<b>-E(KeV)</b>
1	3.7	1.93	0.167	0.479	0.13	0.69
5	8.2	2.31	0.089	0.255	0.20	1.25
10	11.6	2.50	0.068	0.195	0.23	1.63
50	25.9	2.98	0.036	0.103	0.34	3.00
100	36.6	3.22	0.028	0.080	0.41	3.92
500	81.7	3.84	0.015	0.043	0.60	7.38
600	92.8	3.96	0.13	0.040	0.63	7.78
700	102.9	4.05	0.12	0.038	0.67	8.20
800	108.6	4.10	0.011	0.035	0.69	8.58
900	112.8	4.12	0.010	0.033	0.70	8.96
1000	115.6	4.14	0.011	0.032	0.71	9.52

**Table T<sub>3</sub>**

**Binding energy and exchange energy of Carbon matter in superstrong magnetic field**

<b>B(10<sup>12</sup>G)</b>			<b>R(a<sub>0</sub>)</b>	<b>l(a<sub>0</sub>)</b>	<b>-E<sub>ex</sub>(KeV)</b>	<b>-E(KeV)</b>
1	0.7	1.76	0.219	0.628	0.50	4.5
5	1.6	2.09	0.116	0.291	0.77	8.4
10	2.2	2.25	0.088	0.193	0.93	11.0
50	5.0	2.67	0.047	0.135	1.40	20.6
100	7.0	2.88	0.036	0.103	1.67	27.0
500	15.7	3.41	0.019	0.055	2.49	50.7
600	17.6	3.47	0.018	0.053	2.55	52.9
700	18.9	3.52	0.017	0.050	2.67	58.6
800	20.5	3.60	0.016	0.047	2.78	60.8
900	21.8	3.62	0.015	0.044	2.84	64.5
1000	22.2	3.67	0.014	0.040	2.96	66.7

**Table T<sub>4</sub>**

**Binding energy and exchange energy of Oxygen matter in superstrong magnetic field**

<b>B(10<sup>12</sup>G)</b>			<b>R(a<sub>0</sub>)</b>	<b>l(a<sub>0</sub>)</b>	<b>-E<sub>ex</sub>(KeV)</b>	<b>-E(KeV)</b>
1	0.5	1.71	0.234	0.671	0.72	7.4
5	1.0	2.03	0.124	0.356	1.16	14.0
10	1.4	2.18	0.094	0.270	1.34	18.2
50	3.2	2.59	0.050	0.143	2.03	34.2
100	4.6	2.79	0.038	0.109	2.42	44.9
500	10.2	3.30	0.020	0.057	3.63	84.6
600	10.9	3.33	0.018	0.055	3.87	92.5
700	11.2	3.36	0.017	0.050	3.98	100.8
800	12.5	3.42	0.0168	0.048	4.12	105.6
900	13.0	3.48	0.0158	0.045	4.22	108.7
1000	14.5	3.55	0.0150	0.043	4.31	111.1

## REFERENCES

1. B.K. Tiwary, S.P. Tiwary and L.K. Mishra, *J. Chemical Biological and Physical Sciences* (in Press) (2013).
2. R.W., Romani, *Astrophys. J.* 313, 718 (1987).
3. B. Paczynski *Acta. Astron.*, 42, 145 (1992).
4. E. Müller, *Astron, Astrophys.* 130, 418 (1984).
5. M.S. Melezhik, *Phys. Rev. D* 30, 415 (1984).
6. E.E. Salpeter and H.M. Van horn, *Phys. Scr.* 29, 448 (1984).
7. B.B. Kadomtser and V.S. Kuderyavtser, *Soviet Phys., JETP*, 35, 76 (1972).
8. J.E. Skjervold and E. stgaard, *Phys. Scr.* 29, 448 (1984).
9. J.E. Skjervold and E. stgaard, *Can J. Phys.* 64, 356 (1988).
10. M.A. Ruderman, *Phys. Rev. Lett. (PRL)* 27, 1306 (1971).
11. D.H. Constantinescu and P. Rehak, *Phys. Rev. D* 8, 1693 (1973).
12. H.H. Chen, M.A. Ruderman and P.G. Sutherland, *Astrophys. J.* 191, 473 (1974).
13. M.L. Glasser and J.L. Kaplan, *Astrophys. J* 199, 208 (1975).
14. W. Hillebrandt and E. Müller, *Astrophys. J* 207, 589 (1976).
15. E.G. Flowers, J.F. Lee, M.A. Ruderman, P.G. Sutherland, W. Hillerandt and E. Müller, *Astrophys.* 215, 291 (1977).
16. M. Bouhassouns, R. Chamour, M. Fliyon, D. Birla and A. Noujaour, *J. Appl. Phys.* 91, 232 (2002).
17. L. Blanchet, *Phys. Rev. D* 65, 124009 (2002).
18. R. Laughlin, *International Journal of Modern Physics, A* 18, 831 (2003).
19. E. Witten, *Communication in Mathematical Physics*, 252, 189 (2004).
20. P. Mainwood, Dissertation, University of Oxford (2006).
21. M. Kramer, B.W. Stappers, A. Jessmer and C.A. Jordan, *MNRAS* 377, 107 (2007).