

## A Study on Scale Free Optics

Binay Praksh Akhouri

Department of Physics,  
Birsa College, Khunti 835210, Jharkhand, INDIA.

(Received on: December 18, 2016)

### ABSTRACT

In this paper we describe the progress in the study of scale-free optical propagation when the cooling rate is above threshold value. In order to grasp the core idea behind scale-free optics, paraxial ray scalar approximation, has been considered. Diffraction-free (zero effective wavelength) solutions of equation(5) are scale free. This effect has been found in the Gaussian exact solution for  $8kK = \frac{L^2}{\lambda^2} = 1$ .

**Keywords:** scale-free optics, paraxial ray scalar approximation.

### INTRODUCTION

The basic idea underlying scale-free propagation is that when light propagates in a medium in which non-linearity introduces an intensity- independent response that amounts to anti-diffraction<sup>1,5</sup>.

Recent experiments report on the demonstration of scale-free propagation in disordered ferroelectric KTN: Li (a newly engineered cu-doped lithium enriched potassium-tantalate-niobate) crystals<sup>6</sup>. In this system a specific role is played by i) ferro-electricity, ii) photo-refraction i) Ferro-electricity is the property of a dielectric to manifest, below a specific Curie temperature, a spontaneous breaking of the crystal symmetry and an associated finite static electric polarization that can be switched through an external bias field. ii) A ferroelectric electro-optic crystal can also be photorefractive. Photo refraction is characterized by a strong optical non-linearity mediated by an indirect optical self-action: light photo induces charges from in-band impurities that redistribute in the crystal through drift and diffusion and give rise to a space-charge field which, through the electro- optic effect, changes the index of refraction and hence the propagation of the light itself. To understand the phenomena of diffraction cancelation, we recall that photo-refraction leads to a diffusive nonlinearity<sup>7,8</sup>, which profoundly alters beam propagation, in that diffraction is governed by an effective refractive index<sup>9,11</sup>  $n_{eff}$

$$n_{eff} = \frac{n_0}{(1 - (L/\lambda)^2)}, \quad (1)$$

Where  $n_0$  is the unperturbed refractive index,  $\lambda$  the wavelength and  $L = 4\pi n_0^2 \epsilon_0 \sqrt{g} \chi_{NPR} (K_B T / q)$ . Here,  $g$  is the effective quadratic electro-optic coefficient,  $\chi_{NPR}$  is the effective history-dependent low-frequency dielectric susceptibility of the dipolar glass,  $K_B$  the Boltzmann constant,  $T$  the crystal equilibrium temperature (i.e. the temperature measured at a given instant) and  $q$  is the charge of the photoexcited carriers. Eq(1) is valid for  $\lambda \geq L$ . As  $L \rightarrow \lambda$ ,  $n_{eff} \rightarrow n_0$  and diffraction is cancelled, the scale-free regime, independently of beam size and intensity. Scale-free optics opens the way to a number of enticing effects, such as wavelength-intensive propagation<sup>10</sup> and scale-free spatial instability<sup>12</sup>.

## MODEL

In order to grasp the core idea behind scale-free optics, we consider the propagation of an optical wave in the paraxial scalar approximation<sup>13</sup>. The slowly varying part of the optical field  $A$  (i.e.  $|A|^2 = I$  is the optical intensity) obeys the paraxial wave equation

$$2ik\partial_z A + \nabla_{\perp}^2 A + \frac{2k^2}{n} \Delta n A = 0 \quad (2)$$

Where,  $k = (\omega/c)n$  is the wave number,  $\omega$  is the optical angular frequency,  $z$  is the propagation direction of the beam and  $\perp \equiv (x, y)$  are the two transverse coordinates and  $n$  is the electro optic response of the PNR [ 14-19]. It is expressed as

$$\Delta n_{PNR} = -\frac{n^3}{2} g \epsilon_0^2 \chi_{PNR}^2 E \quad (3)$$

and the diffusive photo-induced electric field is

$$E = -\frac{K_B T}{q} \frac{\Delta I}{I} \quad (4)$$

Substituting (3) in (2)

$$\Delta n = \Delta n_{PNR} = -\frac{n^3}{2} g \epsilon_0^2 \chi_{PNR}^2 \left( \frac{K_B T}{q} \right)^2 \frac{(\partial_x I)^2 + (\partial_y I)^2}{I^2} \quad (5)$$

With  $g = g_{11} + g_{12}$  (that depends on the specific PNR- supporting ferroelectric used).

Inserting the non linear response term,  $\Delta n$  in equation (2), the nonlinear propagation equation is

$$i \frac{\partial A}{\partial Z} + \frac{1}{2k} \nabla_{\perp}^2 A - K \frac{\left( \frac{\partial |A|^2}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial |A|^2}{\partial y} \right)^2}{|A|^4} A = 0, \quad (6)$$

Where

$$K = kg \left( \frac{n \varepsilon_0 \chi_{PNR} K_B T}{\sqrt{2q}} \right)^2$$

The focusing/defocusing nature of the effect depends on the sign of  $g = g_{11} + g_{12}$ . i.e., on the specific lattice structure of the underlying composite crystal.

In the case of KTN:Li, here considered,  $g_{11} > 0$  is dominant with respect to  $g_{12} < 0$ , which is an order of magnitude smaller, such that  $g_{11} + g_{12} > 0$  ( $g_{11} = 0.16 \text{ m}^4 \text{ C}^{-2}$ ,  $g_{12} = -0.02 \text{ m}^4 \text{ C}^{-2}$ ), and the effect is self focusing<sup>14</sup>.

Introducing the anstaz,  $A(x, y, z) = A_0(x, y, z)e^{-i\Omega(x,y,z)}$  in equ. (6)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( i \frac{\partial A_0}{\partial Z} + A_0 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial Z} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{2k} \left\{ \left( \frac{\partial^2 A_0}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 A_0}{\partial y^2} \right) - 2i \left( \frac{\partial A_0}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial A_0}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial y} \right) - i \left( A_0 \frac{\partial^2 \Omega}{\partial x^2} + A_0 \frac{\partial^2 \Omega}{\partial y^2} \right) - \left( A_0 \left( \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right)^2 + A_0 \left( \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right) \right\} \\ & - K \frac{\left( \frac{\partial |A_0|^2}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial |A_0|^2}{\partial y} \right)^2}{|A_0|^4} A_0 = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Equating real and imaginary parts separately, we get following two equations:

$$A_0 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial Z} + \frac{1}{2k} \left\{ \left( \frac{\partial^2 A_0}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 A_0}{\partial y^2} \right) - \left( A_0 \left( \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right)^2 + A_0 \left( \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right) \right\} - K \frac{\left( \frac{\partial |A_0|^2}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial |A_0|^2}{\partial y} \right)^2}{|A_0|^4} A_0 = 0 \quad (8)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial A_0}{\partial Z} - \frac{1}{k} \left( \frac{\partial A_0}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial A_0}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial y} \right) - \frac{1}{2k} \left( A_0 \frac{\partial^2 \Omega}{\partial x^2} + A_0 \frac{\partial^2 \Omega}{\partial y^2} \right) = 0 \quad (9)$$

We look for a solution of the form

$$A_0(x, y, z) = \frac{A_{00}}{\sqrt{f_1(z)}\sqrt{f_2(z)}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2r_0^2 f_1^2(z)}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2r_0^2 f_2^2(z)}} \quad (10(a))$$

and

$$\Omega = \frac{x^2}{2} \beta_1(z) + \frac{y^2}{2} \beta_2(z) \quad (10(b))$$

Where,  $\beta_1(z) = -\frac{k}{f_1} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial Z}$  and  $\beta_2(z) = -\frac{k}{f_2} \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial Z}$  and  $r_0 f_1$  and  $r_0 f_2$  are the beam width

parameters in the x and y directions respectively  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are functions of z. Putting 10(a) and 10(b) in eqn.(8) we get

$$\frac{kx^2}{2f_1} \frac{\partial^2 f_1}{\partial Z^2} + \frac{ky^2}{2f_2} \frac{\partial^2 f_2}{\partial Z^2} + K \frac{4x^2}{f_1^4 r_0^4} + K \frac{4y^2}{f_2^4 r_0^4} - \frac{x^2}{2kf_1^4 r_0^4} - \frac{y^2}{2kf_2^4 r_0^4} + \frac{1}{2kf_1^2 r_0^2} + \frac{1}{2kf_2^2 r_0^2} = 0 \quad (11)$$

Collecting the coefficients of  $x^2$  and  $y^2$  from eqn. (11), we can easily derive the following pair of coupled nonlinear differential equations.

$$\frac{\partial^2 f_1}{\partial Z^2} = \left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right) \frac{1}{f_1^3 r_0^4} - \left(\frac{8K}{k}\right) \frac{1}{f_1^3 r_0^4} \quad (12(a))$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f_2}{\partial Z^2} = \left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right) \frac{1}{f_2^3 r_0^4} - \left(\frac{8K}{k}\right) \frac{1}{f_2^3 r_0^4} \quad (12(b))$$

For  $f_1 = f_2 = 1$

$$\frac{1}{kr_0^4} - \frac{8K}{kr_0^4} = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{k} - 8K = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad 8K = \frac{1}{k}$$

Therefore,  $8Kk = 1$  (13)

Eq.(13) can be stated in terms of PNR susceptibility:  $\chi_{PNR} \geq \chi_{thr} \square 10^5$ , which also states that there exist a critical value for the non-linear optical response due the PNR<sup>15-19</sup> for which  $L = \lambda$  ( $\lambda = 632.8nm$  in our experiments). Notably enough, the density and the size of the PNR, that are directly related to the cooling rate, determine  $\chi_{PNR}$ : as a result the scale-free regime

will exist only above a cooling rate threshold. Diffraction free (zero effective wavelength) solutions of Eq.(6) are scale free. This effect is found in the Gaussian exact solution for  $8kK = 1$ .

## CONCLUSION

We find that the Gaussian scale-free solutions when the condition  $8kK = \frac{L^2}{\lambda^2} = 1$  is satisfied and diffraction is fully cancelled. When,  $8kK \triangleright 1$ , a wholly new optics can be predicted.

## REFERENCES

1. DelRe E., Spinozzi E., Agranant A.J., Conti C., Scale-free optics and diffractionless waves in nano-disordered ferroelectrics, *Nature Photonics.*, 5: , 39-42 (2012).
2. Segev M., Stegeman G., Self-trapping of optical beams: Spatial solitons, *Phys.Today* ., 51: 42-48 (1998).
3. Mollenaur L. F., Stolen R.G., Gordon J.P., Experimental observation of picoseconds pulse narrowing and solitons in optical fibers, *Phy. Rev. Lett.*, 45: 1095-1098 (1980).
4. Hasegawa A., Kodama Y.K., Solitons in Optical communications, Clarendon, Oxford, (1995).
5. Kivshar Y.S., Agrawal G.P., Optical solitons: Fibers to Photonic Crystals, San Diego, Academic Press (2003).
6. Mishra M., Konar S., High bit rate dense dispersion managed optical communication systems with distributed amplification, *Prog. Electromag. Res.*,78: 301-320 (2008).
7. Konar S., Mishra M., Jana S., A new family of thirring type optical spatial solitons via electromagnetically induced transparency, *Phys. Letts.A.*, 362: 505-510 (2007).
8. Mishra M., Konar S., Interaction of solitons in a dispersion managed optical communication systems with asymmetric dispersion map., *J. Elect. Waves and Applic.*, 21(14): 2049-2058 (2007).
9. DelRe E., Segev M., Christodoulides D. N., Crosignani B., Salamo G., Gunter P., Photorefractive Materials and Their Applications Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg (2006).
10. Yeh P., Introduction to photorefractive nonlinear optics Wiley, New York (1993).
11. Solymar L., Web A., Grunnet J., The Physics and Applications of Photorefractive Materials, Claredon Press, Oxford (1996).
12. Segev M., Crosignani B., Yariv A., Spatial Solitons in Photorefractive Media, *Phys. Rev. Lett* 68: 923-926 (1992).
13. Crosignani B., Segev M., Engin D., Self-Trapping of Optical Beams in Photorefractive Media, *J. Opt. Soc. Am B* 10: 446-453 (1993).
14. Duree G.C., Shultz J.L., Salamo G.L., Observation Of Self-Trapping of an Optical Beam due to the Photorefractive Effect, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 71: 533-536 (1993).

Binay Praksh Akhouri, J. Pure Appl. & Ind. Phys. Vol.6 (12), 228-233 (2016)

15. Duree G., Salamo G., Segev M., Dimensionality And Size Of Photorefractive Spatial Solitons, *Opt.Lett.*, 19: 1195-1197 (1994).
16. Christodoulides D. N., Carvalho M.L., Compression, Self-Bending, And Collapse Of Gaussian Beams In Photorefractive Crystals, *Opt.Lett.*, 19: 1714-1716 (1994).
17. Singh S.R., Christodoulides D.N., Evolution Of Spatial Optical Solitons In Biased Photorefractive Media Under Steady-State Conditions, *Opt.Commun.*, 118: 569-576 (1995).
18. Christodoulides D.N., Carvalho M.I., Bright, Dark, And Gray Spatial Soliton States In Photorefractive Media, *J.Opt.Soc.Am. B.*, 12: 1628-1633 (1995) .
19. DelRe E., D'Ercole A., Agranat A.J., Emergence of linear wave segments and predictable traits in saturated nonlinear media, *Opt. Lett.*, 28: 260-262 (2003).