J. Pure Appl. & Ind. Phys. Vol.1 (4), 228-235 (2011)

A Mathematical Theorem on Couple-Stress Fluid in the Presence of Rotation

AJAIB S. BANYAL*

Department of Mathematics, NSCBM, Govt. College
Hamirpur -177005, H. P., India

ABSTRACT

The thermal instability of a couple-stress fluid acted upon by uniform vertical rotation and heated from below is investigated. Following the linearized stability theory and normal mode analysis, the paper mathematically established the condition for Characterizing the nonoscillatory motions which may be neutral or unstable for rigid boundaries at the top and bottom of the fluid. It is established that all nondecaying slow motions starting from rest, in a couple-stress fluid of infinite horizontal extension and finite vertical depth, which is acted upon by uniform vertical rotation opposite to gravity and a constant vertical adverse temperature gradient, are necessarily nonoscillatory, in the regime

$$\frac{T_A}{\left(\pi^4 + 2\pi^6 F + \pi^8 F\right)} \leq 1,$$

where T_A is the Taylor number and F is the couple-stress parameter, the result is also in accordance with corresponding configuration of Newtonian fluid when the couple-stress parameter F=0, Gupta *et al*.[4]

Keywords: Thermal convection; Couple-Stress Fluid; Rotation; PES; Taylor number.

MSC 2000 No.: 76A05, 76E06, 76E15; 76E07.

1. INTRODUCTION

Right from the conceptualizations of turbulence, instability of fluid flows is being regarded at its root. The thermal instability of a fluid layer with maintained adverse temperature gradient by heating the underside plays an important role in Geophysics, interiors of the Earth, Oceanography and Atmospheric Physics etc. A detailed account of the theoretical and experimental study of the onset of Bénard Convection in Newtonian fluids, under varying assumptions of hydrodynamics and hydromagnetics, has been given by Chandrasekhar[2]. The use of Boussinesq approximation has been made throughout, which states that the density changes are disregarded in all other terms in the equation of motion except the external force term. Sharma *et al*.[7] has considered the effect of suspended particles on the onset of Bénard convection in hydromagnetics. The fluid has been considered to be Newtonian in all above studies. With the growing importance of non-Newtonian fluids in modern technology and industries, the investigations on such fluids are desirable. Stokes[11] proposed and postulated the theory of couple-stress fluid. One of the applications of couple-stress fluid is its use to the study of the mechanism of lubrication of synovial joints, which has become the object of scientific research. According to the theory of Stokes[11], couple-stresses are found to appear in noticeable magnitude in fluids having very large molecules. Since the long chain hylauronic acid molecules are found as additives in synovial fluid, Walicki and Walicka[12] modeled synovial fluid as couple-stress fluid in human joints. An electrically conducting couple-stress fluid heated from below in porous medium in the presence of uniform horizontal magnetic field has been studied by Sharma and Sharma[10]. Sharma and Thakur[8] have studied the thermal convection in couple-stress fluid in porous medium in hydromagnetics. Sharma and Sharma[9] and Kumar and Kumar[3] have studied the effect of dust particles, magnetic field and rotation on couple-stress fluid heated from below and for the case of stationary convection, found that dust particles have destabilizing effect on the system, where as the rotation is found to have stabilizing effect on the system, however couple-stress and magnetic field are found to have both stabilizing and destabilizing effects under certain conditions.

Keeping in mind the importance of non-Newtonian fluids, the present paper is an attempt to characterize the onset of instability analytically, in a layer of incompressible couple-stress fluid heated from below in the presence of uniform vertical rotation opposite to force field of gravity, when the bounding surfaces of infinite horizontal extension, at the top and bottom of the fluid are rigid. It is shown that for the configuration under consideration that, if $\frac{T_A}{\left(\pi^4 + 2\pi^6 F + \pi^8 F\right)} \leq 1$, then an arbitrary neutral or unstable modes of the system are definitely nonoscillatory and, in particular the PES is valid, where T_A is the Taylor number.

2. FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM AND PERTURBATION EQUATIONS

Considered an infinite, horizontal, incompressible couple-stress fluid layer, of thickness d, heated from below so that, the temperature and density at the bottom surface z = 0 are T_0, ρ_0 respectively and at the upper surface z = d are T_d, ρ_d and that a uniform adverse temperature gradient $\beta\left(= \left|\frac{dT}{dz}\right|\right)$ is maintained. The fluid is acted upon by a uniform vertical rotation $\vec{\Omega}(0,0,\Omega)$. Let ρ, p, T and $\vec{q}(u,v,w)$ denote respectively the density, pressure, temperature and velocity of the fluid. Then the momentum balance, mass balance equations of the couple-stress fluid (Stokes[4]; Chandrasekhar[2] and Scanlon and Segel[5]) are

$$\frac{\partial \vec{q}}{\partial t}+\left(\vec{q}.\nabla\right)\vec{q}=-\frac{1}{\rho_0}\nabla p+\vec{g}\left(1+\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho_0}\right)+\left(\nu-\frac{\mu'}{\rho_0}\nabla^2\right)\nabla^2\vec{q}+2\left(\vec{q}\times\vec{\Omega}\right) \quad (1)$$

$$\nabla.\vec{q}=0 \quad (2)$$

The equation of state

$$\rho=\rho_0\left[1-\alpha\left(T-T_0\right)\right] \quad (3)$$

Where the suffix zero refer to the values at the reference level z = 0. Here $\vec{g}(0,0,-g)$ is acceleration due to gravity.

Let c_v, c_{pt} denote the heat capacity of the fluid at constant volume and the heat capacity of the particles. Assuming that the particles and the fluid are in thermal equilibrium, the equation of heat conduction gives

$$\rho_0 c_v\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\vec{q}.\nabla\right)T=\vec{q}\,\nabla^2 T$$

Or

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t}+\left(\vec{q}.\nabla\right)T=\kappa\nabla^2 T \quad (4)$$

The kinematic viscosity ν, couple-stress viscosity μ' thermal diffusivity $\kappa=\frac{q}{\rho_0 c_v}$ and coefficient of thermal expansion α are all assumed to be constants.

The basic motionless solution is

$$\vec{q}=(0,0,0)\ ,\ T=T_0-\beta z\ ,\ \vec{\Omega}=(0,0,\Omega)$$

and $\rho=\rho_0(1+\alpha\beta z)$. (5)

Assume small perturbations around the basic solution and let $\delta\rho$, δp, θ and $\vec{q}(u,v,w)$ denote respectively the perturbations in density, pressure p, temperature T and couple-stress fluid velocity (0,0,0). The change in density $\delta\rho$ caused mainly by the perturbation θ in temperature is given by

$$\delta\rho=-\alpha\rho_0\theta\,. \quad (6)$$

Then the linearized perturbation equations of the couple-stress fluid becomes

$$\frac{\partial \vec{q}}{\partial t}=-\frac{1}{\rho_0}\nabla\delta p-\vec{g}\,\alpha\theta+\left(\nu-\frac{\mu'}{\rho_0}\nabla^2\right)\nabla^2\vec{q}+2\left(\vec{q}\times\vec{\Omega}\right) \quad (7)$$

$$\nabla.\vec{q}=0\,, \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial t}=\beta w+\kappa\nabla^2\theta\,, \quad (9)$$

Within the framework of Boussinesq approximation, equations (7) and (8), give

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\nabla^2 w-g\alpha\left(\frac{\partial^2\theta}{\partial x^2}+\frac{\partial^2\theta}{\partial y^2}\right)+2\Omega\frac{\partial\varsigma}{\partial z}\right]=\left(\nu-\frac{\mu'}{\rho_0}\nabla^2\right)\nabla^4 w \quad (10)$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial\varsigma}{\partial t}-2\Omega\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}\right]=\left(\nu-\frac{\mu'}{\rho_0}\nabla^2\right)\nabla^2\varsigma \quad (11)$$

Together with (9), where

$$\nabla^2=\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}+\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}+\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\ \text{ and }\ \varsigma=\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$$

denote the z-component of vorticity.

3. NORMAL MODE ANALYSIS

Analyzing the disturbances into normal modes, we assume that the Perturbation quantities are of the form

$$[w,\theta,\varsigma]=[W(z),\Theta(z),Z(z)]$$
$$\text{Exp}\left(ik_x x+ik_y y+nt\right) \tag{12}$$

Where k_x, k_y are the wave numbers along the x and y-directions respectively $k=\left(k_x{}^2+k_y{}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, is the resultant wave number and n is the growth rate which is, in general, a complex constant.

Using (12), equations (9), (10) and (11), on using (8), in non-dimensional form, become

$$\left(D^2-a^2\right)\left[\sigma+F\left(D^2-a^2\right)^2-\left(D^2-a^2\right)\right]W=-\frac{g\alpha d^2a^2\Theta}{\nu}-\sqrt{T_A}dDZ \tag{13}$$

$$\left[\left\{1-F\left(D^2-a^2\right)\right\}\left(D^2-a^2\right)-\sigma\right]Z=-\frac{\sqrt{T_A}}{d}DW \tag{14}$$

$$\left(D^2-a^2-p_1\sigma\right)\Theta=-\frac{\beta d^2}{\kappa}W \tag{15}$$

where

$$a=kd,\sigma=\frac{nd^2}{\nu},p_1=\frac{\nu}{\kappa},F=\frac{\mu'}{\rho_0 d^2\nu},T_A=\frac{2\Omega^2d^4}{\nu^2}$$

$D=\frac{d}{dz}$ and $D_\oplus=dD$ and dropping $(\oplus)$ for convenience. Here $p_1=\frac{\nu}{\kappa}$, is the thermal prandtl number, F is the couple-stress parameter and T_A is the Taylor number.

Substituting $W=W_\oplus$, $\Theta=\frac{\beta d^2}{\kappa}\Theta_\oplus$ and $Z=\frac{\sqrt{T_A}}{d}Z_\oplus$ in equations (13), (14) and (15) and dropping $(\oplus)$ for convenience, in non-dimensional form becomes,

$$\left(D^2-a^2\right)\left[\sigma+F\left(D^2-a^2\right)^2-\left(D^2-a^2\right)\right]W=-Ra^2\Theta-T_ADZ \tag{16}$$

$$\left[\left\{1-F\left(D^2-a^2\right)\right\}\left(D^2-a^2\right)-\sigma\right]Z=-DW \tag{17}$$

$$\left(D^2-a^2-p_1\sigma\right)\Theta=-W \tag{18}$$

Where $R=\frac{g\alpha\beta d^4}{\kappa\nu}$, is the thermal Rayleigh number.

Since both the boundaries rigid and are maintained at constant temperature, the perturbations in the temperature are zero at the boundaries. The appropriate boundary conditions with respect to which equations (16), (17) and (18) must be solved are

W = DW = 0, $\Theta=0$ and Z = 0 at z = 0 and z = 1. (19)

Equations (16)-(18), along with boundary conditions (19), pose an eigenvalue problem for σ and we wish to Characterize σ_i when $\sigma_r \geq 0$.

We prove the following theorem:

Theorem: If R $\rangle$ 0, F $\rangle$ 0, $T_A\rangle 0$, $\sigma_r \geq 0$ and $\sigma_i \neq 0$ then the necessary condition for the existence of non-trivial solution (W,Θ,Z) of equations (16), (17) and (18) together with boundary conditions (19) is that

$$\cdot \ \frac{T_A}{\left(\pi^4 + 2\pi^6 F + \pi^8 F\right)} \rangle 1$$

Proof: Multiplying equation (16) by W^* (the complex conjugate of W) throughout and integrating the resulting equation over the vertical range of z, we get

$$\sigma \int_0^1 W^* \left(D^2 - a^2\right) W dz + F \int_0^1 W^* \left(D^2 - a^2\right)^3 W dz - \int_0^1 W^* \left(D^2 - a^2\right)^2 W dz = -Ra^2 \int_0^1 W^* \Theta dz - T_A \int_0^1 W^* DZ dz, \quad (20)$$

Taking complex conjugate on both sides of equation (18), we get

$$\left(D^2 - a^2 - p_1 \sigma^*\right) \Theta^* = -W^*, \quad (21)$$

Therefore, using (21), we get

$$\int_0^1 W^* \Theta dz = -\int_0^1 \Theta \left(D^2 - a^2 - p_1 \sigma^*\right) \Theta^* dz, \quad (22)$$

Also taking complex conjugate on both sides of equation (17), we get

$$\left[\left\{1 - F\left(D^2 - a^2\right)\right\}\left(D^2 - a^2\right) - \sigma^*\right] Z^* = -DW^*, \quad (23)$$

Therefore, using (23), we get

$$\int_0^1 W^* DZ dz = -\int_0^1 DW^* Z dz = \int_0^1 Z \left\{\left(D^2 - a^2\right) - F\left(D^2 - a^2\right)^2 - \sigma^*\right\} Z^* dz, \quad (24)$$

Substituting (22) and (24) in the right hand side of equation (20), we get

$$\sigma \int_0^1 W^* \left(D^2 - a^2\right) W dz + F \int_0^1 W^* \left(D^2 - a^2\right)^3 W dz - \int_0^1 W^* \left(D^2 - a^2\right)^2 W dz = Ra^2 \int_0^1 \Theta \left(D^2 - a^2 - p_1 \sigma^*\right) \Theta^* dz - T_A \int_0^1 Z \left\{\left(D^2 - a^2\right) - F\left(D^2 - a^2\right)^2 - \sigma^*\right\} Z^* dz \quad (25)$$

Integrating the terms on both sides of equation (25) for an appropriate number of times by making use of the appropriate boundary conditions (19), along with (17), we get

$$\sigma \int_0^1 \left\{|DW|^2 + a^2 |W|^2\right\} dz + F \int_0^1 \left\{\left|D^3 W\right|^2 + 3a^2 \left|D^2 W\right|^2 + 3a^4 |DW|^2 + a^6 |W|^2\right\} dz$$
$$+ \int_0^1 \left\{\left|D^2 W\right|^2 + 2a^2 |DW|^2 + a^4 |W|^2\right\} dz = Ra^2 \int_0^1 \left\{|D\Theta|^2 + a^2 |\Theta|^2 + p_1 \sigma^* |\Theta|^2\right\} dz - T_A \int_0^1 \left\{|DZ|^2 + a^2 |Z|^2\right\} dz$$
$$- T_A F \int_0^1 \left\{\left|D^2 Z\right|^2 + 2a^2 |DZ|^2 + a^4 |Z|^2\right\} dz - T_A \sigma^* \int_0^1 |Z|^2 dz \quad (26)$$

And equating imaginary parts on both sides of equation (26), and cancelling $\sigma_i (\neq 0)$ throughout from imaginary part, we get

$$\int_0^1 \left\{|DW|^2 + a^2 |W|^2\right\} dz + Ra^2 p_1 \int_0^1 |\Theta|^2 dz = T_A \int_0^1 |Z|^2 dz, \quad (27)$$

We first note that since W and Z satisfy $W(0) = 0 = W(1)$ and $Z(0) = 0 = Z(1)$ in addition to satisfying to governing equations and hence we have from the Rayleigh-Ritz inequality[6]

$$\int_0^1 |DW|^2 dz \geq \pi^2 \int_0^1 |W|^2 dz , \tag{28}$$

and

$$\int_0^1 |DZ|^2 dz \geq \pi^2 \int_0^1 |Z|^2 dz , \tag{29}$$

Further, for $W(0) = 0 = W(1)$ and $Z(0) = 0 = Z(1)$, Banerjee *et al.*[1] have show that

$$\int_0^1 \left|D^2 W\right|^2 dz \geq \pi^2 \int_0^1 |DW|^2 dz \text{ and}$$

$$\int_0^1 \left|D^2 Z\right|^2 dz \geq \pi^2 \int_0^1 |DZ|^2 dz , \tag{30}$$

Further, multiplying equation (17) and its complex conjugate (23), and integrating by parts each term on both sides of the resulting equation for an appropriate number of times and making use of boundary condition on Z namely $Z(0) = 0 = Z(1)$ along with (17), we get

$$\int_0^1 \left\{\left|D^2 Z\right|^2 + 2a^2 |DZ|^2 + a^4 |Z|^2\right\} dz + F \int_0^1 \left\{\left|D^4 Z\right|^2 + 4a^2 \left|D^3 Z\right|^2 + 6a^4 \int_0^1 \left|D^2 Z\right|^2 + 4a^6 \int_0^1 |DZ|^2 + a^8 |Z|^2\right\} dz$$
$$+ 2F \int_0^1 \left\{\left|D^3 Z\right|^2 + 3a^2 \left|D^2 Z\right|^2 + 3a^4 \int_0^1 |DZ|^2 + a^6 |Z|^2\right\} dz + 2\sigma_r \int_0^1 \left\{|DZ|^2 + a^2 |Z|^2\right\} dz$$
$$+ 2\sigma_r F \int_0^1 \left\{\left|D^4 Z\right|^2 + 2a^2 \left|D^2 Z\right|^2 + a^4 |Z|^2\right\} dz + |\sigma|^2 \int_0^1 |Z|^2 dz = \int_0^1 |DW|^2 dz \tag{31}$$

Further, by utilizing boundary conditions (19) and equation (17), it follows that

$$\int_0^1 \left|D^2 Z\right|^2 dz = \text{ Real part of} \left[-\int_0^1 DZ^* D^3 Z dz\right],$$

$$\leq \left|-\int_0^1 DZ^* D^3 Z dz\right| ,$$

$$\leq \left|\int_0^1 DZ^* D^3 Z dz\right| ,$$

$$\leq \int_0^1 \left|DZ^* D^3 Z\right| dz ,$$

$$\leq \int_0^1 \left|DZ^*\right| \left|D^3 Z\right| dz ,$$

$$\leq \int_0^1 |DZ| \left|D^3 Z\right| dz ,$$

$$\leq \left[\int_0^1 |DZ|^2 dz\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_0^1 \left|D^3 Z\right|^2 dz\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} ,$$

(Utilizing Cauchy- Schwartz-inequality),

$$\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\int_0^1 \left|D^2 Z\right|^2 dz\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_0^1 \left|D^3 Z\right|^2 dz\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} ,$$

(Utilizing inequality (30)),

So that we have

$$\left[\int_0^1 \left|D^2 Z\right|^2 dz\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\int_0^1 \left|D^3 Z\right|^2 dz\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} ,$$

Which yields

$$\int_0^1 \left|D^3 Z\right|^2 dz \geq \pi^2 \int_0^1 \left|D^2 Z\right|^2 dz , \tag{32}$$

Using inequality (29) and (30), inequality (32) becomes

$$\int_0^1 \left|D^3 Z\right|^2 dz \geq \pi^6 \int_0^1 |Z|^2 dz , \tag{33}$$

Also,

$$\int_0^1 \left|D^3 Z\right|^2 dz = \text{ Real part of} \left[-\int_0^1 D^2 Z^* D^4 Z dz\right],$$

$$\leq \left| -\int_0^1 D^2 Z^* D^4 Z dz \right| ,$$

$$\leq \left| \int_0^1 D^2 Z^* D^4 Z dz \right| ,$$

$$\leq \int_0^1 \left| D^2 Z^* D^4 Z \right| dz ,$$

$$\leq \int_0^1 \left| D^2 Z^* \right| \left| D^4 Z \right| dz ,$$

$$\leq \int_0^1 \left| D^2 Z \right| \left| D^4 Z \right| dz ,$$

$$\leq \left[\int_0^1 \left| D^2 Z \right|^2 dz \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_0^1 \left| D^4 Z \right|^2 dz \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} ,$$

(Utilizing Cauchy- Schwartz-inequality),

$$\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\int_0^1 \left| D^3 Z \right|^2 dz \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_0^1 \left| D^4 Z \right|^2 dz \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} ,$$

(Utilizing inequality (32)),

So that we have

$$\left[\int_0^1 \left| D^3 Z \right|^2 dz \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\int_0^1 \left| D^4 Z \right|^2 dz \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} ,$$

Which yields

$$\int_0^1 \left| D^4 Z \right|^2 dz \geq \pi^2 \int_0^1 \left| D^3 Z \right|^2 dz , \qquad (34)$$

Using inequality (33), inequality (34) becomes

$$\int_0^1 \left| D^4 Z \right|^2 dz \geq \pi^8 \int_0^1 |Z|^2 dz , \qquad (35)$$

Now F $\rangle$ 0 and $\sigma_r \geq 0$, therefore the equation (31) gives,

$$\int_0^1 \left| D^2 Z \right|^2 dz + 2F \int_0^1 \left| D^3 Z \right| dz + F \int_0^1 \left| D^4 Z \right|^2 dz \langle \int_0^1 |DW|^2 dz , \qquad (36)$$

And on utilizing the inequalities (29), (30), (33) and (35), inequality (36) gives

$$\int_0^1 |Z|^2 dz \langle \frac{1}{\left(\pi^4 + 2\pi^6 F + \pi^8 F\right)} \int_0^1 |DW|^2 dz , \qquad (37)$$

Now R $\rangle$ 0 and $T_A \rangle$ 0, utilizing the inequalities (37), the equation (27) gives,

$$\left[1 - \frac{T_A}{\left(\pi^4 + 2\pi^6 F + \pi^8 F\right)} \right] \int_0^1 |DW|^2 dz + a^2 \int_0^1 |W|^2 dz + Ra^2 p_1 \int_0^1 |\Theta|^2 dz \; \langle \; 0, \qquad (38)$$

and therefore , we must have

$$\frac{T_A}{\left(\pi^4 + 2\pi^6 F + \pi^8 F\right)} \rangle 1 . \qquad (39)$$

Hence, if

$\sigma_r \geq 0$ and $\sigma_i \neq 0$, then $\frac{T_A}{\left(\pi^4 + 2\pi^6 F + \pi^8 F\right)} \rangle 1$.

And this completes the proof of the theorem.

4. CONCLUSION

This theorem mathematically established that the onset of instability in a couple-stress fluid in the presence of uniform vertical rotation, cannot manifest itself as oscillatory motions of growing amplitude if the Taylor number T_A and the couple-stress parameter F, satisfy the inequality $\frac{T_A}{\left(\pi^4 + 2\pi^6 F + \pi^8 F\right)} \leq 1$.

The essential content of the theorem, from the point of view of linear stability theory is that for the configuration of couple-stress fluid of infinite horizontal extension heated form below, having top and bottom bounding surfaces rigid, in the presence of uniform vertical rotation parallel to the force field of gravity, an arbitrary neutral or unstable modes of the system are definitely nonoscillatory in character and in particular PES is valid, if $\frac{T_A}{\left(\pi^4 + 2\pi^6 F + \pi^8 F\right)} \leq 1$, the result is also in accordance with corresponding configuration of Newtonian fluid when the couple-stress parameter F=0, Gupta *et al.*[4].

REFERENCES

1. Banerjee, M.B., Gupta, J.R. and Prakash, J. On thermohaline convection of Veronis type, *J. Math. Anal. Appl., Vol.*179, pp. 327 (1992). Perturbation in thermohaline convection. *Proc. R. Soc.Vol.* A378, pp.301-04
2. Chandrasekhar, S. Hydrodynamic and Hydromagnetic Stability, Dover Publication, New York (1981).
3. Kumar, V. and Kumar, S. On a couple-stress fluid heated from below in hydromagnetics, *Appl. Appl. Math., Vol*. 05(10),pp. 1529-1542 (2011).
4. Gupta, J. R., Sood, S.K., and Bhardwaj, U. D. On the characterization of nonoscillatory motions in rotatory hydromagnetic thermohaline convection, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., Vol.*17(1) pp 100-107 (1986).
5. Scanlon, J.W. and Segel, L.A. Some effects of suspended particles on the onset of Bénard convection, *Phys. Fluids. Vol*. 16, pp. 1573-78 (1973).
6. Schultz, M.H. Spline Analysis, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersy (1973).
7. Sharma, R.C., Prakash, K. and Dube, S. N. Effect of suspended particles on the onset of Bénard convection in hydromagnetics, *J. Math. Anal. Appl., USA, Vol.* 60 pp. 227-35 (1976).
8. Sharma, R.C. and Thakur, K. D. Couple stress-fluids heated from below in hydromagnetics, *Czech. J. Phys., Vol*. 50, pp. 753-58 (2000).
9. Sharma, R.C. and Sharma, M. Effect of suspended particles on couple-stress fluid heated from below in the presence of rotation and magnetic field, *Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math., Vol*. 35(8), pp. 973-989 (2004).
10. Sharma, R.C. and Sharma S. On couple-stress fluid heated from below in porous medium, *Indian J. Phys, Vol*. 75B, pp.59-61 (2001).
11. Stokes, V. K. Couple-stress in fluids, *Phys. Fluids, Vol*. 9, pp.1709-15 (1966).
12. Walicki, E.and Walicka, A. Inertial effect in the squeeze film of couple-stress fluids in biological bearings, *Int. J. Appl. Mech. Engg., Vol.* 4, pp. 363-73 (1999).